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## 1. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be an n-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold [12] equipped with a semi-Riemannian metric $g$. If index $(\mathrm{g})=1$ then $g$ is a Lorentzian metric and $(M, g)$ a Lorentzian manifold [4]. If $g$ is positive definite then $g$ is an usual Riemannian metric and $(M, g)$ a Riemannian manifold. The notion of $(N(k), \xi)$ -semi-Riemannian structure was introduced and studied by Tripathi and Gupta [21] to unify $N(k)$-contact metric [3], Sasakian [5], [14], ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian [17], [22], Kenmotsu [10], para-Sasakian [15], ( $\epsilon$ )-para-Sasakian structures [20].

In this paper we study 3 -dimensional $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifolds. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to some basic definitions and properties of almost contact metric, almost para contact metric and $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifolds. Further, we prove that an $(N(k), \xi)$-semiRiemannian 3-manifold is a space form if and only if the scalar curvature $r$ of the manifold is equal to $6 k$. In Section 3, we show that a Ricci-semi-symmetric $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold is a space-form. In Section 4, a necessary and sufficient condition for an $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold to be locally $\phi$-symmetric is obtained. Section 5 contains some results on $(N(k), \xi)$ -semi-Riemannian 3 -manifold with $\eta$-parallel Ricci tensor.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional differentiable manifold endowed with an almost contact structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$, where $\phi$ is a $(1,1)$-tensor field, $\xi$ is a vector field and $\eta$ is a 1 -form on $M$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\xi)=1, \quad \phi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ denotes the identity transformation. It follows from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \cdot \phi=0, \quad \phi(\xi)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists a semi-Riemannian metric $g$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\epsilon \eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(M) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon= \pm 1$, then the structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called an $(\epsilon)$-almost contact metric structure and $M$ is called an $(\epsilon)$-almost contact metric manifold. For an $(\epsilon)$-almost contact metric manifold, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(X)=\epsilon g(X, \xi) \text { and } \epsilon=g(\xi, \xi) \forall X \in \chi(M) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon=1$ and index of $g$ is 0 then $M$ is the usual Sasakian manifold and $M$ is a Lorentz-Sasakian manifold for $\epsilon=-1$ and index of $g$ is 1 .

If $d \eta(X, Y)=g(\phi X, Y)$, then $M$ is said to have $(\epsilon)$-contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$. For $\epsilon=1$ and $g$ Riemannian, $M$ is the usual contact metric manifold [5]. A contact metric manifold with $\xi \in N(k)$, is called a $N(k)$-contact metric manifold $[1,6]$. If moreover, this structure is normal, that is, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\phi X, \phi Y]+\phi^{2}[X, Y]-\phi[X, \phi Y]-\phi[\phi X, Y]=-2 d \eta(X, Y) \xi \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the $(\epsilon)$-contact metric structure is called an $(\epsilon)$-Sasakian structure and the manifold endowed with this structure is called ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian manifold. The physical importance of indefinite Sasakian manifolds have been pointed out by Duggal in [9].

An $(\epsilon)$-almost contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is $(\epsilon)$-Sasakian if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right) Y=g(X, Y) \xi-\epsilon \eta(Y) X, \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(M) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to $g$. Also we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \xi=-\epsilon \phi X \quad \forall X \in \chi(M) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An almost contact metric manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold [10] if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right) Y=g(\phi X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) \phi X \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8), we have

$$
\nabla_{X} \xi=X-\eta(X) \xi
$$

If in (2.1), the condition $\phi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi$ is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2}=I-\eta \otimes \xi \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $(M, g)$ is called an $(\epsilon)$-almost paracontact metric manifold equipped with an $(\epsilon)$-almost paracontact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$.

An $(\epsilon)$-almost paracontact metric structure is called $(\epsilon)$-para-Sasakian structure [20] if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right) Y=-g(\phi X, \phi Y) \xi-\epsilon \eta(Y) \phi^{2} X \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric $g$. A manifold endowed with an $(\epsilon)$-para-sasakian structure is called $(\epsilon)$-para-Sasakian manifold [20]. For $\epsilon=1$ and $g$ Riemannian, $M$ is the usual para-Sasakian manifold [15].

## $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold

The $k$-nullity distribution [18] of $(M, g)$ is the distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(k): p \rightarrow N_{p}(k)=\left\{Z \in T_{p} M: R(X, Y) Z=k(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y)\right\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a real number.
An $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold consists of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, a $k$-nullity distribution $N(k)$ on $(M, g)$ and a non-null unit vector field $\xi$ in $(M, g)$ belonging to $N(k)$. Throught the paper we assume that $X, Y, Z, U, V, W \in \chi(M)$, where $\chi(M)$ is the Lie algebra of vector fields in $M$, unless specifically stated otherwise. Let $\xi$ be a non null unit vector field in $(M, g)$ and $\eta$ its associated 1-form. Thus

$$
g(\xi, \xi)=\epsilon
$$

where $\epsilon=1$ or -1 according as $\xi$ is spacelike or timelike, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a) } g(X, \xi)=\epsilon \eta(X), \quad b) \eta(\xi)=1 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In an $n$-dimensional $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, the following relations hold [21]:

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) \xi & =\epsilon k\{\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y\}  \tag{2.13}\\
R(\xi, X) Y & =\epsilon k\{\epsilon g(X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) X\}  \tag{2.14}\\
\eta(R(X, Y) Z) & =k\{\eta(X) g(Y, Z)-\eta(Y) g(X, Z)\}  \tag{2.15}\\
S(X, \xi) & =\epsilon k(n-1) \eta(X) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

In a 3 -dimensional Riemannian manifold we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & g(Y, Z) Q X-g(X, Z) Q Y+S(Y, Z) X-S(X, Z) Y  \tag{2.17}\\
& -\frac{r}{2}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y]
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q$ is the Ricci operator, i.e., $g(Q X, Y)=S(X, Y)$ and $r$ is the scalar curvature of the manifold. Putting $Z=\xi$ in (2.17) and using (2.13) and (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\eta(Y) Q X-\eta(X) Q Y)=\left(-\epsilon k+\frac{r}{2} \epsilon\right)(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $Y=\xi$ in (2.18) and then using (2.12(b)) and (2.16) (for $\mathrm{n}=3$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q X=\frac{1}{2}\{(r-2 k) X-(r-6 k) \eta(X) \xi\}, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2}\{(r-2 k) g(X, Y)-\epsilon(r-6 k) \eta(X) \eta(Y)\} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

An $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold $M$ is said to be $\eta$-Einstein if its Ricci tensor $S$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, Y)=a g(X, Y)+b \eta(X) \eta(Y) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ where $a, b$ are functions on $M$. Hence from (2.20) we can state the following:

Lemma 1 A 3-dimensional $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.

By using (2.19) and (2.20) in (2.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & \left(\frac{r}{2}-2 k\right)\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\}  \tag{2.22}\\
& -\left(\frac{r}{2}-3 k\right)\{g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi-g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi \\
& +\epsilon \eta(Y) \eta(Z) X-\epsilon \eta(X) \eta(Z) Y\}
\end{align*}
$$

An $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold is a space of constant curvature then it is an indefinite space form.

Remark. Relations (2.19), (2.20) and (2.22) are true for

1. An $N(k)$-contact metric 3-manifold [8] if $\epsilon=1$,
2. A Sasakian 3-manifold if $k=1$ and $\epsilon=1$,
3. A Kenmotsu 3-manifold [7] if $k=-1$ and $\epsilon=1$,
4. An $(\epsilon)$-Sasakian 3-manifold if $k=1$ and $\epsilon k=1$,
5. A para-Sasakian 3-manifold [2] if $k=-1$ and $\epsilon=1$,
6. An ( $\epsilon$ )-para-Sasakian 3-manifold [19] if $k=-\epsilon$ and $\epsilon k=-1$.

Lemma 2 A 3-dimensional $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold is a space form if and only if the scalar curvature $r=6 k$.

Consequently, for a 3-dimensional $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold, we have the following table:

| $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{S}=$ | $\mathbf{r}=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N(k)$-contact metric | $\frac{1}{2}\{(r-2 k) g-(r-6 k) \eta \otimes \eta\}$ | $6 k$ |
| Sasakian | $\frac{1}{2}\{(r-2) g-(r-6) \eta \otimes \eta\}$ | 6 |
| Kenmotsu | $\frac{1}{2}\{(r+2) g-(r+6) \eta \otimes \eta\}$ | -6 |
| $(\epsilon)$-Sasakian | $\frac{1}{2}\{(r-2 \epsilon) g-\epsilon(r-6 \epsilon) \eta \otimes \eta\}$ | $6 \epsilon$ |
| para-Sasakian | $\frac{1}{2}\{(r+2) g-(r+6) \eta \otimes \eta\}$ | -6 |
| $(\epsilon)$-para Sasakian | $\frac{1}{2}\{(r+2 \epsilon) g-\epsilon(r+6 \epsilon) \eta \otimes \eta\}$ | $-6 \epsilon$ |

Proof. Let a 3 -dimensional $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold be an indefinite space form. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y) Z=c\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\}, \quad X, Y, Z \in \chi(M) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the constant curvature of the manifold. By using the definition of Ricci curvature and (2.23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, Y)=2 c g(X, Y) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we use (2.24) in the definition of the scalar curvature we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=6 c . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.24) and (2.25) one can easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, Y)=\frac{r}{3} g(X, Y) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By plugging $X=Y=\xi$ in (2.20) and using (2.26) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=6 k . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if $r=6 k$, then from the equation (2.22) we can easily see that the manifold is a space form. This completes the proof.

## 3. Ricci-semi-symmetric $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifolds

A semi-Riemannian manifold $M$ is said to be Ricci semi-symmetric [13] if its Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y) \cdot S=0, \quad X, Y \in \chi(M) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(X, Y)$ acts as a derivation on $S$. Ricci-semisymmetric manifold is a generalization of manifold of constant curvature, Einstein manifold, Ricci symmetric manifold, symmetric manifold and semisymmetric manifold. Ricci-semisymmetric condition for Kenmotsu 3-manifolds, ( $\epsilon$ )-para-Sasakian 3-manifolds and LP-Sasakian 3-manifolds are studied in [7], [19] and [16] respectively.

Let $M$ be a Ricci-semi-symmetric $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold. From (3.28) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(R(X, Y) U, V)+S(U, R(X, Y) V)=0 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $X=\xi$ in (3.29) and use (2.14), then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
k g(Y, U) S(\xi, V)-\epsilon K \eta(U) S(Y, V)+k g(Y, V) S(U, \xi)-\epsilon K \eta(V) S(U, Y)=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (2.16) in (3.30) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 1) } \epsilon K\{2 k g(Y, U) \eta(V)-\eta(U) S(Y, V)-2 k g(Y, V) \eta(U)-\eta(V) S(U, Y)\}=0 \text {. } \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider that $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the $T_{p} M, p \in M$. Then, by putting $X=U=e_{i}$ in (2.2) and taking the summation for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon k\{8 k \eta(V)-\epsilon S(V, \xi)-r \eta(V)\}=0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, by using (2.16) in (3.32), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon k(6 k-r) \eta(V)=0, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives $r=6 k$. This implies, in view of Lemma 2, that the manifold is a space form.

Therefore, we have the following:
Theorem 1 A Ricci-semi-symmetric $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold is a space form.

From Theorem 1 and the above table, we can state the following corollaries:
Corollary 1 A Ricci-semi-symmetric $N(k)$-contact metric 3-manifold is a manifold of constant scalar curvature $6 k$.

Corollary 2 A Ricci-semi-symmetric Sasakian 3-manifold is a manifold of constant positive scalar curvature 6 .

Corollary 3 [7] A Ricci-semi-symmetric Kenmotsu 3-manifold is a manifold of constant negative scalar curvature -6 .

Corollary 4 A Ricci-semi-symmetric ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian 3-manifold is an indefinite space form.

Corollary 5 [2] A Ricci-semi-symmetric para-Sasakian 3-manifold is a manifold of constant negative scalar curvature -6 .

Corollary 6 [19] A Ricci-semi-symmetric ( $\epsilon$ )-para-Sasakian 3-manifold is an indefinite space form.

## 4. Locally $\phi$-symmetric $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3 -manifolds

Definition 1 An $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold is said to be locally $\phi$ symmetric if

$$
\phi^{2}\left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y, Z)=0
$$

for all vector fields $W, X, Y, Z$ orthogonal to $\xi$. This notion was introduced for Sasakian manifolds by Takahashi [17].

Now, differentiating (2.22) covariantly with respect to $W$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y, Z)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{W} r\right)\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y-g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi \\
& +g\left(X, Z_{\eta}(Y) \xi-\epsilon \eta(Y) \eta(Z) X+\epsilon \eta(X) \eta(Z) Y\right\} \\
& -\frac{(r-6 k)}{2}\left\{g(Y, Z)\left(\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(X) \xi+\eta(X) \nabla_{W} \xi\right)\right. \\
& -g(X, Z)\left(\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Y) \xi+\eta(Y) \nabla_{W} \xi\right) \\
& +\epsilon\left(\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Y) \eta(Z) X+\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Z) \eta(Y) X\right) \\
& \left.-\epsilon\left(\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(X) \eta(Z) Y+\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Z) \eta(X) Y\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $W, X, Y, Z$ orthogonal to $\xi$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y, Z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{W} r\right)\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \\
& \quad-\frac{(r-6 k)}{2}\left\{g(Y, Z)\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(X) \xi-g(X, Z)\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Y) \xi\right\} \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\phi^{2}$ on both sides of the above equation and using $\phi \cdot \xi=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2}\left(\left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y, Z)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{W} r\right)\left\{g(Y, Z) \phi^{2} X-g(X, Z) \phi^{2} Y\right\} \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now taking $X, Y$ are orthogonal to $\xi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2}\left(\left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y, Z)\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{W} r\right)\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (4.36), we can state the following:
Theorem 2 An $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold is locally $\phi$-symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature $r$ is constant.

If an $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold is Ricci semi-symmetric, then we have showed that $r=6 k$, that is $r$ is constant.

Therefore, from Theorem (2), we have
Theorem 3 A Ricci-semi-symmetric $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold is locally $\phi$-symmetric.

## 5. $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold with $\eta$-parallel Ricci tensor

Definition 2 The Ricci tensor $S$ of an $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold $M$ is called $\eta$-parallel if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{Z} S\right)(\phi X, \phi Y)=0 \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y$ and $Z$. The notion of Ricci- $\eta$-parallelity for Sasakian manifolds was introduced by Kon in [11].

Now, let us consider a 3-dimensional $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian manifold with $\eta$-parallel Ricci tensor. Then, from (2.20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\phi X, \phi Y)=\frac{1}{2}(r-2 k)[g(\phi X, \phi Y)] . \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (5.38) covariantly along $Z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{Z} S\right)(\phi X, \phi Y)=\frac{1}{2} d r(Z) g(\phi X, \phi Y) \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the Ricci tensor is $\eta$-parallel, then from (5.37) and (5.39) one can get

$$
\frac{1}{2} d r(Z) g(\phi X, \phi Y)=0
$$

From which, it follows that

$$
d r(Z)=0
$$

for all $Z$. This leads us to the following:

Theorem 4 Let $M$ be an $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold with $\eta$-parallel Ricci tensor. The the scalar curvature $r$ is constant.

In view of Theorem (2) and Theorem (4), we have the following:

Theorem 5 An $(N(k), \xi)$-semi-Riemannian 3-manifold with $\eta$-parallel Ricci tensor is locally $\phi$-symmetric.
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